

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,545	09/06/2000	Shyam Kumar Verma	208-6139	9919
20792	7590 06/18/2003			
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			EXAMINER	
	PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627		HAMLIN, DERRICK G	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	₫
		•	DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	D
	•			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/656,545	VERMA ET AL.			
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Derrick G. Hamlin	1751			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a repy within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	September 2000 .				
2a) <u></u>		is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
·	·					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-92</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-92</u> are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.				
9) 🗌 1	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🔲 -	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ acce	pted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.				
12) 🔲 🗀	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	plication No			
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bute the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·				
a	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure.	ovisional application has be	en received.			
Attachment	(s)					
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tr TO-326 (Re		etion Summary	Part of Paper No. 8			

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-36 and 43-78, drawn to aqueous ammonia based absorption solutions and an alkali metal hydroxide based absorption solution, classified in class 252, subclass 389.62.
- Claims 37-42 and 79-92, drawn to methods for using absorption solution, classified in class 252, subclass 70.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case corrosion prevention in a refrigerant can be practiced with another materially different products.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

1. an aqueous ammonia based absorption solutions.

Art Unit: 1751

2. an alkali metal hydroxide based absorption solution.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic claims exist. Applicant is advised that an election of species is required for either group I or II.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to M. Pendelton on 9/17/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derrick G. Hamlin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0590. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternating Fridays from 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If reasonable attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Derrick G. Hamlin

YOGENDRA N. GUPTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700